Time-resolved study of laser emission in nitrogen gas pumped by two near IR femtosecond laser pulses

ROSTYSLAV DANYLO^{1,2}, GUILLAUME LAMBERT¹, YI LIU^{2,3}, VLADIMIR TIKHONCHUK^{4,5}, AURÉLIEN HOUARD¹, AND ANDRÉ MYSYROWICZ^{1,*}

¹Laboratoire d'Optique Appliquée, ENSTA Paris, CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 828 Boulevard des Maréchaux, 91762 Palaiseau cedex, France

²Shanghai Key Lab of Modern Optical System, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, 516, Jungong Road, 200093 Shanghai, China

³CAS Center for Excellence in Ultra-intense Laser Science, 201800, Shanghai, China

⁴University of Bordeaux-CNRS-CEA, CELIA, UMR 5107, 33405 Talence, France

⁵ELI-Beamlines, Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences, 25241 Dolní Břežany, Czech Republic

*Corresponding author: andre.mysyrowicz@ensta-paris.fr

Compiled March 12, 2021

The time profile of the lasing signal at 391.4 nm emitted by a weakly ionized gas of nitrogen molecules at low pressure is measured under double excitation with intense femtosecond laser pulses at 800 nm. An abrupt decrease of the emission occurs at the time of arrival of the second pulse. It is explained by a transfer of population from ground to first excited ionic level and by a disruption of coherence, terminating the conditions for lasing in a V-scheme without population inversion.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/ao.XX.XXXXXX>

1. INTRODUCTION

The laser emission from nitrogen gas pumped by an intense femtosecond laser pulse is a fascinating, highly controversial subject of study. More than 150 publications have been published on this question over the last few years, yet the origin of the lasing is still not settled. When an intense near IR femtosecond laser pulse irradiates a chamber filled with air or nitrogen gas, an under-dense plasma column is formed. With a linearly polarized incident laser pulse of intensity in the range 10^{14} W/cm² with central wavelength at 800 nm, the emission from this plasma along the column axis consists of intense narrow lines at 391.4 or 427.8 nm [1–3], contrasting with the transverse luminescence from the plasma where these lines are buried in a multilevel spectrum [4]. These two wavelengths correspond to transitions between levels $B^2\Sigma_u^+(0)$ and $X^2\Sigma_g^+(0, 1)$ of the singly ionized nitrogen molecule, where index $(0, 1)$ refers to the vibrational quantum number. The emission at 391.4 nm appears at low gas pressure, reaches a peak around 50 mbar and disappears above 150 mbar, at which pressure the emission at 427.8 nm has started to appear and persists up to normal pressure [2]. Below $p \approx 100$ mbar, the emission at 391.4 nm can be amplified by orders of magnitude by injecting a weak femtosecond seed pulse at the same wavelength in the plasma column [1, 5]. Amplification occurs even when the seed pulse is delayed by up to 10 ps, much longer than the pump pulse duration, showing that electronic excitation energy is stored in the plasma column, ready to be delivered. For this reason, the emission process has been called

“cavity free lasing”.

Three scenarios have been proposed to explain the 391.4 nm lasing: a first scenario assumes that a population inversion is established by the pump pulse between initial level $B^2\Sigma_u^+(0)$ (hereafter denoted B) and final level $X^2\Sigma_g^+(0)$ (hereafter X) of the transition [6–8]. According to this model, this inversion is due to a fast depletion of population in X level by a pump-induced transfer to intermediate ionic level $A^2\Pi_u(2)$ (hereafter A), due to a quasi-resonance of the X - A transition with the pump photon energy. A second model attributes the optical gain to a transient inversion of rotational population between levels B and X following pump pulse induced partial alignment of nitrogen molecules [9, 10]. This amplification scheme does not require electronic population inversion between $B(0)$ and $X(0)$. Finally, a third model assumes no electronic nor rotational population inversion [11, 12]. According to this model, lasing occurs because of interference between amplitudes of transition probabilities in a V-scheme arrangement. The scenarios with no electronic population inversion are especially interesting since by upgrading the photon energy from pump to emission, they offer a venue for amplifiers in the X-ray or Γ -ray domain, where population inversion is difficult to achieve [13–16]. Note that the second scenario requires molecules as an active medium while scenario 3 should also function with atoms.

The purpose of this manuscript is to discuss the origin of the lasing at 391.4 nm, based on a study of the temporal shape of the laser emission at 391.4 nm following excitation by a sequence of

two 800 nm pulses. The study is focused on the low pressure regime (30-50 mbar) where the seed induced amplification is highest. As will be discussed, the observed strong quenching of lasing [17–19] is consistent with V-model but cannot be easily explained by the other scenarios.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The principle of the experiments is shown in Figure 1 (a detailed description of the set-up is given in the Supplementary Material). The output from a Ti:Sapphire laser emitting pulses at 800 nm at a repetition rate of 100 Hz with up to 15 mJ energy per pulse of 45 fs duration is divided in 4 arms. A pump pulse (1) is sent in a gas chamber filled with nitrogen at a pressure of 30 mbar and generates the plasma column. It is followed by a weaker control pulse (2) at 800 nm of adjustable delay. Pulses (1) and (2) have a diameter of 15 mm FWHM and are focused with a $f=40$ cm lens (L1) into the gas chamber. The energy of the control pulse is adjusted to obtain maximal quenching (see Figure 2 of Reference [17]) [20]. For each (1)-(2) delay, the lasing signal at 391.4 nm emerging from the plasma channel in the direction of the pump pulse is time resolved by mixing it in a nonlinear β -Barium Borate (BBO) crystal with pulse (4) and measuring the resulting sum frequency spectrum around 261 nm as a function of delay (1)-(4) with a high resolution spectrograph. The experiments are performed in the absence (Figure 2) and in the presence (Figure 3) of an orthogonally polarized seed pulse at 391 nm (3) injected about 300 fs after the pump pulse (1). The 300 fs pump/seed delay optimizes the lasing signal at 391.4 nm for this pump/seed polarization configuration.

Fig. 1. Simplified experimental setup. 800 nm pump pulse (1), 800 nm control pulse (2) and 391 nm seed pulse (3) are collinearly recombined and focused with lens L1 in a gas chamber filled with nitrogen gas. Pump pulse (1) forms a plasma column where seed pulse (3) can be efficiently amplified while control pulse (2) serves to obliterate the lasing process. Dichroic mirror DM1 serves to filter the 800 nm laser emission and to transmit the amplified 391.4 nm lasing signal. It is recombined by another dichroic mirror DM2 with scan pulse (4) at 800 nm. They are focused in a BBO crystal by lens L2 where Sum Frequency Generation (SFG) process takes place. All the emission except SFG around 261 nm is cut by interference filter IF. SFG signal is finally recorded by detection system D consisting in a spectrograph and associated CCD camera (for more details see Supplementary Material).

3. RESULTS

Let us consider first the case without external seed. In the absence of a control pulse (Figure 2a and black curve in Figure 2d),

Fig. 2. Time-resolved lasing emission at 391.4 nm obtained with different pump/control delays in the absence of an external seed. Nitrogen gas pressure is 30 mbar, pump and control pulse energies are 2.1 mJ and 1.2 mJ respectively. a) Spectrogram recorded without control pulse. b) Spectrogram recorded with control pulse delayed by 5 ps. c) Spectrogram recorded with control pulse delayed by 6 ps. d) Wavelength integrated lasing signal for pump/control delays as indicated in the legend. Delays (1)-(2) are labelled negative to emphasize that control pulse (2) arrives after pump pulse (1).

the lasing starts after 3-4 ps, reaches a peak around 6 ps and persists beyond 15 ps. We also note the appearance of sharp peaks at 8, 12 and (less resolved) 4 ps. The period of these peaks corresponds exactly to the period of rotation of ionized molecules in level B [21, 22]. When the control pulse is injected, an abrupt decrease of the lasing emission occurs (Figure 2b, c and d). The instant of suppression corresponds to the delay (1)-(2) between pump and control pulses. The same suppression effect occurs in the presence of a seed pulse (Figures 3 and 4). The main difference between Figures 2 and 3 is the shorter duration of the more intense lasing signal obtained with external seed, which now reaches its peak after ~ 2 ps [5]. Finally, Figure 5 shows the spectrum of the 391.4 nm emission obtained in the absence of external seed with a control pulse delay of 4.5 ps.

4. DISCUSSION

We now discuss these results by successively adopting the three different models. They exclude in our view the population inversion model. With a pump pulse of 1-2 mJ energy, we are in

Fig. 3. Time-resolved lasing emission at 391.4 nm obtained with different pump/control delays in the presence of an external seed. Seed pulse (3) is injected ~ 300 fs after pump pulse (1). Nitrogen gas pressure is 30 mbar, pump and control pulse energies are 1.4 mJ and 0.8 mJ respectively. a) Spectrogram recorded without control pulse. b) Spectrogram recorded with control pulse delayed by 2 ps. The signal at 263 nm (shown by a red arrow) is a measurement artifact. It corresponds to a 2nd harmonic component generated in the BBO crystal and up-converted by the scan pulse (4). c) Spectrogram recorded with control pulse delayed by 3 ps. Spurious signal at 263 nm is not clearly observed because the stronger signal at 261 nm requires less integration time for the detection. d) Wavelength-integrated temporal profile of 391.4 nm lasing for pump/control delays as indicated in the legend.

a situation where gain is not saturated, as shown in Figure S2 of the supplementary material. This means that an increase of the pump pulse intensity, or alternatively injection of an additional pulse at 800 nm in the immediate wake of the pump pulse, should further increase population inversion and consequently lead to an increase of the lasing signal. Figures 2 and 3 show the opposite effect: instead of an increase, there is an abrupt and near total suppression of the lasing emission.

The second model predicts narrow gain windows occurring when inversion of rotation population between B and X ions is accomplished. The appearance of sharp peaks in the emission profile is in principle compatible with this model. However, according to Reference [9], their appearance period should not correspond exactly to the period of revival of N_2^+ molecules in B state, as observed in Figure 2. Also, the continuous component

of the lasing at other delays is not explained, nor the suppression of the lasing signal by a control pulse.

The third model accounts well for the results. As discussed in References [11] and [12], a large amplification can occur in the presence of long-lived coherent polarizations X - B and X - A coupling B to A via X in a V-scheme arrangement. Amplification requires population inversion between B and A but no inversion of population between B and X . Simulations based on Maxwell-Bloch equations yield a delay for the appearance of the amplified signal, in agreement with the experiments [5, 11, 23]. Note that the sharp peaks are not reproduced in the simulations because the model does not include the formation of coherent rotational wavepackets. The quenching of the lasing signal upon injection of a control pulse is also explained. As it was the case in Reference [17] we interpret this observation by the V-scheme model of laser without population inversion. The new aspect in the present work is that we also present a simulation of the effect. This simulation shows that in addition to the population transfer the destruction of the A - X coherence induced by the control pulse also contributes to the quenching effect. The numerical simulation consists in four steps: (i) modeling of the Nitrogen gas ionization and ionic excitation by the pump pulse; (ii) evolution of the 391 nm signal with a A - X coherence maintained by a post-pulse [24]; (iii) perturbation of plasma by the control pulse and re-excitation of N_2^+ ; (iv) evolution of the 391 nm signal from the re-excited Nitrogen ions (for more simulation details see Supplementary Materials). The abrupt drop-down of lasing shown in Figures 2 and 3 is well-reproduced in simulation, as shown in Figure 4.

Fig. 4. Comparison of measured (green line and circles) and calculated (pink curve) temporal profiles of lasing at 391.4 nm, quenched by a 3 ps delayed 800 nm control pulse. Experimental curve is the same as in Figure 3d. In the simulations, the 800 nm pump pulse intensity is 2.6×10^{14} W/cm², while the control pulse intensity is 1.0×10^{14} W/cm². The external seed pulse of intensity 2×10^8 W/cm² is injected 300 fs after the pump pulse. Post-pulse maintaining X - A coherence in the system is approximated by an exponential function with 6×10^9 W/cm² initial amplitude, 7 ps decay time, detuning between post-pulse and X - A transition frequencies is 1.1 ps^{-1} [24]. Gain is calculated along 1 cm plasma column with Nitrogen gas pressure of 30 mbar.

It is interesting to note that a pump/control delay of 4.5 ps opens a brief time window bounding a revival of a coherent rotation wavepacket of B molecules. The emission spectrum around 391.45 nm, shown in Figure 5, corresponds to the P branch $B(J) \rightarrow X(J+1)$ of the B - X transition, where J is the total angular momentum of a molecule. It can be decomposed into a narrow line and a broader component. The duration corresponding to the broader component is on the order of 250 fs, in good agreement with the duration (Full Width at Half Maximum) of the alignment half-cycle of B molecules [9, 25, 26] while the narrow component corresponds to a longer-lived emission from non-aligned molecules. A similar broadening of the R branch $B(J) \rightarrow X(J-1)$, is also observed. A detailed study of the line broadening will be the subject of a forthcoming manuscript.

Fig. 5. Normalized spectra of self-seeded lasing, P-branch. Blue curve corresponds to the case when only 800 nm pump pulse arrives, red curve is recorded with a control pulse retarded by 4.5 ps. Experimental conditions are the same as in Figure 2. Delay (1)-(2) is labelled negative to emphasize that control pulse (2) arrives after pump pulse (1)

5. CONCLUSION

To summarize, time-resolved measurements of the emission profile obtained in a nitrogen gas at low pressure with a sequence of two 800 nm pump pulses reveal an abrupt cut of the lasing signal upon arrival of the second pulse. This effect is explained in the frame of the V-model by a transition from the ground level $X^2\Sigma_g^+(0)$ of the lasing to an intermediate level $A^2\Pi_u(2)$ and by a disruption of the coherences induced by the second pulse, terminating the condition for amplification $n_B > n_A$. Based on these results, we conclude that the important gain observed at 391.4 nm at low pressure corresponds to an amplification process without population inversion. We emphasize that this conclusion is valid for a nitrogen gas at low pressure pumped by a femtosecond pump pulse centered at 800 nm, of peak intensity in the range of a few 10^{14} W/cm². It is possible that other mechanisms set in at other pump wavelengths, or higher pump pulse intensities, or higher gas pressures.

Funding. Project EPAT3 from Direction Générale de l'Armement (DGA). Project LQ1606 from the Czech National Programme of Sustainability II. Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal

Education Commission (Grant No. 2017-01-07-00-07-E00007), Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Commission (No. 17060502500).

Disclosures. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. J. Yao, G. Li, C. Jing, B. Zeng, W. Chu, J. Ni, H. Zhang, H. Xie, C. Zhang, H. Li, H. Xu, S. L. Chin, Y. Cheng, and Z. Xu, *New J. Phys.* **15**, 023046 (2013).
2. Y. Liu, Y. Brelet, G. Point, A. Houard, and A. Mysyrowicz, *Opt. Express* **21**, 22791 (2013).
3. T.-J. Wang, J. Ju, J.-F. Daigle, S. Yuan, R. Li, and S. L. Chin, *Las. Phys. Lett.* **10**, 125401 (2013).
4. A. Talebpour, S. Petit, and S. L. Chin, *Opt. Commun.* **171**, 285 (1999).
5. Y. Liu, P. Ding, G. Lambert, A. Houard, V. Tikhonchuk, A. Mysyrowicz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 133203 (2015).
6. H. Xu, E. Lötstedt, A. Iwasaki, and K. Yamanouchi, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8347 (2015).
7. J. Yao, S. Jiang, W. Chu, B. Zeng, C. Wu, R. Lu, Z. Li, H. Xie, G. Li, C. Yu, Z. Wang, H. Jiang, Q. Gong, and Y. Cheng, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 143007 (2016).
8. H. Li, M. Hou, H. Zang, Y. Fu, E. Lötstedt, T. Ando, A. Iwasaki, K. Yamanouchi, and H. Xu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 013202 (2019).
9. M. Richter, M. Lytova, F. Morales, S. Haessler, O. Smirnova, M. Spanner, and M. Ivanov, *Optica* **7**, 586 (2020).
10. M. Lytova, M. Richter, F. Morales, O. Smirnova, M. Ivanov, and M. Spanner, *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 013111 (2020).
11. A. Mysyrowicz, R. Danylo, A. Houard, V. Tikhonchuk, X. Zhang, Z. Fan, Q. Liang, S. Zhuang, L. Yuan, and Y. Liu, *APL Photon.* **4**, 110807 (2019).
12. V. Tikhonchuk, Y. Liu, R. Danylo, A. Houard, and A. Mysyrowicz, *New J. Phys.* <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/abd8bf>.
13. O. A. Kocharovskaya, Ya. I. Khanin, *JETP Lett.* **48**, 630 (1988).
14. S.E. Harris, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1033 (1989).
15. M. O. Scully, M. Fleischhauer, *Science* **263**, 337 (1994).
16. A. Svidzinsky, L. Yuan, and M. O. Scully, *New J. Phys.* **15**, 053044 (2013).
17. R. Danylo, G. Lambert, Y. Liu, V. Tikhonchuk, A. Houard, and A. Mysyrowicz, *Opt. Lett.* **45**, 4670 (2020).
18. M. Britton, M. Lytova, D. H. Ko, A. Alqasem, P. Peng, D. M. Villeneuve, C. Zhang, L. Arissian, and P. B. Corkum, *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 053110 (2020).
19. A. Zhang, M. Lei, J. Gao, C. Wu, Q. Gong, and H. Jiang, *Opt. Express* **27**, 14922 (2019).
20. With a weaker control pulse a more complicated situation with partial quenching is observed, the discussion of which is outside the scope of this paper.
21. L. Klynnig and P. Pages, *Phys. Scr.* **25**, 543 (1982).
22. G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure: Volume I - Spectra of Diatomic Molecules.*, 2nd ed. (Krieger Publishing Company, Florida USA, 1989).
23. A. Zhang, Q. Liang, M. Lei, L. Yuan, Y. Liu, Z. Fan, X. Zhang, S. Zhuang, C. Wu, Q. Gong, and H. Jiang, *Opt. Express* **27**, 12638 (2019).
24. Recently we have carried out experiments on lasing at 391.4 nm pumped with a high contrast (10^{-10}) 800 nm laser pulse of 10 fs duration and a peak intensity in the range of 5×10^{14} W/cm². Amplification of externally injected seed was still observed, suggesting that the experimentally measured long lasting coherent polarization A-X [11] may not be due to the presence of a laser post-pulse. We investigate alternative explanations for the origin of the long lasting A-X polarization.
25. J. F. Ripoché, G. Grillon, B. Prade, M. Franco, E. Nibbering, R. Lange, and A. Mysyrowicz, *Opt. Commun.* **135**, 310 (1997).
26. T. Seidman, *J. Chem. Phys.* **115**, 5965 (2001).

REFERENCES

1. J. Yao, G. Li, C. Jing, B. Zeng, W. Chu, J. Ni, H. Zhang, H. Xie, C. Zhang, H. Li, H. Xu, S. L. Chin, Y. Cheng, and Z. Xu, "Remote creation of coherent emissions in air with two-color ultrafast laser pulses," *New J. Phys.* **15**, 023046 (2013).
2. Y. Liu, Y. Brelet, G. Point, A. Houard, and A. Mysyrowicz, "Self-seeded lasing in ionized air pumped by 800 nm femtosecond laser pulses," *Opt. Express* **21**, 22791 (2013).
3. T.-J. Wang, J. Ju, J.-F. Daigle, S. Yuan, R. Li, and S. L. Chin, "Self-seeded forward lasing action from a femtosecond Ti:sapphire laser filament in air," *Las. Phys. Lett.* **10**, 125401 (2013).
4. A. Talebpour, S. Petit, and S. L. Chin, "Re-focusing during the propagation of a focused femtosecond Ti:sapphire laser pulse in the air," *Opt. Commun.* **171**, 285 (1999).
5. Y. Liu, P. Ding, G. Lambert, A. Houard, V. Tikhonchuk, A. Mysyrowicz, "Recollision-induced superradiance of ionized nitrogen molecules," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 133203 (2015).
6. H. Xu, E. Lötstedt, A. Iwasaki, and K. Yamanouchi, "Sub-10-fs population inversion in N_2^+ in air lasing through multiple state coupling," *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8347 (2015).
7. J. Yao, S. Jiang, W. Chu, B. Zeng, C. Wu, R. Lu, Z. Li, H. Xie, G. Li, C. Yu, Z. Wang, H. Jiang, Q. Gong, and Y. Cheng, "Population redistribution among multiple electronic states of molecular nitrogen ions in strong laser fields," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 143007 (2016).
8. H. Li, M. Hou, H. Zang, Y. Fu, E. Lötstedt, T. Ando, A. Iwasaki, K. Yamanouchi, and H. Xu, "Significant enhancement of N_2^+ lasing by polarization-modulated ultrashort laser pulses," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 013202 (2019).
9. M. Richter, M. Lytova, F. Morales, S. Haessler, O. Smirnova, M. Spanner, and M. Ivanov, "Rotational Quantum Beat Lasing Without Inversion," *Optica* **7**, 586 (2020).
10. M. Lytova, M. Richter, F. Morales, O. Smirnova, M. Ivanov, and M. Spanner, " N_2^+ Lasing: Gain and Absorption in the Presence of Rotational Coherence," *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 013111 (2020).
11. A. Mysyrowicz, R. Danylo, A. Houard, V. Tikhonchuk, X. Zhang, Z. Fan, Q. Liang, S. Zhuang, L. Yuan, and Y. Liu, "Lasing without population inversion in N_2^+ ," *APL Photon.* **4**, 110807 (2019).
12. V. Tikhonchuk, Y. Liu, R. Danylo, A. Houard, and A. Mysyrowicz, "Theory of femtosecond strong field ion excitation and subsequent lasing in N_2^+ ," *New J. Phys.* <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/abd8bf>.
13. O. A. Kocharovskaya, Ya. I. Khanin, "Coherent amplification of an ultrashort pulse in a three-level medium without a population inversion," *JETP Lett.* **48**, 630 (1988).
14. S.E. Harris, "Lasers without inversion: Interference of lifetime-broadened resonances," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1033 (1989).
15. M. O. Scully, M. Fleischhauer, "Lasers without inversion," *Science* **263**, 337 (1994).
16. A. Svidzinsky, L. Yuan, and M. O. Scully, "Transient lasing without inversion," *New J. Phys.* **15**, 053044 (2013).
17. R. Danylo, G. Lambert, Y. Liu, V. Tikhonchuk, A. Houard, and A. Mysyrowicz, "Quantum erasing of laser emission in N_2^+ ," *Opt. Lett.* **45**, 4670 (2020).
18. M. Britton, M. Lytova, D. H. Ko, A. Alqasem, P. Peng, D. M. Villeneuve, C. Zhang, L. Arissian, and P. B. Corkum, "Control of N_2^+ air lasing," *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 053110 (2020).
19. A. Zhang, M. Lei, J. Gao, C. Wu, Q. Gong, and H. Jiang, "Subfemtosecond-resolved modulation of superfluorescence from ionized nitrogen molecules by 800-nm femtosecond laser pulses," *Opt. Express* **27**, 14922 (2019).
20. With a weaker control pulse a more complicated situation with partial quenching is observed, the discussion of which is outside the scope of this paper.
21. L. Klynning and P. Pages, "The Band Spectrum of N_2^+ ," *Phys. Scr.* **25**, 543 (1982).
22. G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure: Volume I - Spectra of Diatomic Molecules*, 2nd ed. (Krieger Publishing Company, Florida USA, 1989).
23. A. Zhang, Q. Liang, M. Lei, L. Yuan, Y. Liu, Z. Fan, X. Zhang, S. Zhuang, C. Wu, Q. Gong, and H. Jiang, "Coherent modulation of superradiance from nitrogen ions pumped with femtosecond pulses," *Opt. Express* **27**, 12638 (2019).
24. Recently we have carried out experiments on lasing at 391.4 nm pumped with a high contrast (10^{-10}) 800 nm laser pulse of 10 fs duration and a peak intensity in the range of 5×10^{14} W/cm². Amplification of an externally injected seed was still observed, suggesting that the experimentally measured long lasting coherent polarization A-X [11] may not be due to the presence of a laser post-pulse. We investigate alternative explanations for the origin of the long lasting A-X polarization.
25. J. F. Ripoche, G. Grillon, B. Prade, M. Franco, E. Nibbering, R. Lange, and A. Mysyrowicz, "Determination of the time dependence of n_2 in air," *Opt. Commun.* **135**, 310 (1997).
26. T. Seidman, "On the dynamics of rotationally broad, spatially aligned wave packets," *J. Chem. Phys.* **115**, 5965 (2001).